Sustainable Eel Group (SEG) Standard Assessment

Passie voor Rijpelaal B.V.

Assessment against:

- **Component 1:** Core requirements.
- **Component 4:** Eel buying and trading**.**
- **Component 5:** Eel farming.

Completed by: Andres Fellenberg van der Molen	**On-Site Visit:** 6 January 2022	**Report date:** 21 January 2022
Reviewed and approved by:	**Mr. David Bunt** Sustainable Eel Group	**Certification Body** 26 May 2022
This version has had commercially sensitive information removed to meet Data Protection requirements		

FINAL REPORT

Scope

This document represents the report completed following the SEG-Audit-2021 audit carried out under the Sustainable Eel Group (SEG) Standard (Version 6.0a, Dec 2019) for Passie voor Rijpelaal. This assessment has been conducted against Components 1, 4 & 5 of the standard.

The assessment is of a farming and trade of Eel operation located at Zeesweg 26C, 5975PP Sevenum, The Netherlands.

1. Introduction

Passie voor Rijpelaal is located in the town of Sevenum in the province of Limburg in the southeastern Netherlands. Until 2010, it was also the name of the municipality comprising the towns of Sevenum, Kronenberg and Evertsoord. Sevenum's neighbour towns are: Blerick, Venlo, Maasbree, Kronenberg, Grubbenvorst, Hegelsom, Horst, Grubbenvorst. Sevenum-town and Kronenberg are tangented by highway E34, provincial road N277 and the railroad track Eindhoven-Venlo; Evertsoord lies on the edge of the Peel, a former peatland.
This farm can be defined as an extension of Rijpelaal B.V., which is a SEG-certified company with the number SEG-0077 and was assessed in December 2021 with an overall responsibility score of 94%. This site previously operated under the name Martens P.K. B.V., which was SEG certified. Martens was acquired by Rijpelaal B.V. in 2020 and has since developed a transformation in its operations, duplicating Rijpelaal's existing good practices. Passie voor Rijpelaal's largest customer is Rijpelaal B.V. which acquires 70% of the total production. The entire administration is carried out at the offices of Rijpelaal B.V. in Helmond. Therefore, this audit can be defined as “not regular audit”, as it incorporates the operations of Rijpelaal B.V.



2. The assessment

The assessor was Andres Fellenberg Van der Molen from Green Partner Audits & Consultancy B.V, who visited Passie voor Rijpelaal on 6th of January 2022 as part of the SEG-Audit 2021. The audit included the interview with Mrs Freya Welten, Mr Kamil Wójcik and Mr Paul Meulendijks.

2.1 Client Contact Details

Client Contact Name	Paul Meulendijks |Owner | Freya Welten | Management | Passie Voor Rijpeaal B.V.
Client Address	Zeesweg 26, 5975 PP Sevenum, The Netherlands

3. Results of the assessment

The outcome of this assessment is as follows;

Component 1: General Requirements			Auditor's findings	Weighting	Score
1.1	Commitment to Legality		Responsible	1	1
1.2	Contribution to eel conservation projects		Responsible	1	1
1.3	The facility trades in certified responsibly sourced eels		Aspiring	1	0
1.4	Traceability				
	1.4.1	Incoming products, separation and segregation	Aspiring	1	0
	1.4.2	Outgoing products	Aspiring	1	0
	1.4.3	Record keeping and documentation	Aspiring	1	0
1.5	Biosecurity & welfare				
	1.5.1	Eel Fishing	Not Applicable	0	0
	1.5.2	Eel buying & trading	Responsible	1	1
	1.5.3	Eel farming	Responsible	1	1
	1.5.4	Restocking	Responsible	1	1
	1.5.5	Wholesale / Retail / Processing	Responsible	1	1
			Total	**10**	**6/10**
			Percentage Responsibility Score	**60%**	

Component 4: Eel buying and trading.		Auditor's findings	Weighting	Score
4.0	Segregation of certified and uncertified Eel	Responsible	2	2
4.1	The Glass Eel holding facility is a registered Aquaculture Production Business	Responsible	2	2
4.2	Mortality in storage facility	Responsible	2	2
4.3	Mortality during transport and initial holding if transported to farm	Aspiring	2	0
4.4	Water quality	Responsible	1	1
4.5	Handling and welfare	Responsible	1	1
4.6	Transport	Responsible	1	1
4.7	The required percentage of glass eels is being used for restocking	Not Applicable	0	0
		Total	**11**	**9/11**
		Percentage Responsibility Score	**82%**	

Component 5: Eel farming		Auditor's findings	Weighting	Score
5.1	The total mortality rate during the culture process is low	Responsible	2	2
5.2	The fish meal/oil ingredients in the feed come from a responsible source	Responsible	2	2
5.3	Feed is used as efficiently as possible	Responsible	2	2
5.4	Water quality	Responsible	2	2

5.5	There are minimal ecological impacts from effluent discharge	Responsible	1	1
5.6	Grading, slaughter and transportation are carried out with respect to welfare	Responsible	1	1
5.7	The farm provides Eel for restocking	Responsible	1	1
5.8	Eels for restocking are not graded out slow-growers	Responsible	1	1
		Total	**12**	**12/12**
		Percentage Responsibility Score	**100%**	

Summary of assessment and scoring		
Component	**Aspiring**	**Responsible**
1	4	6
4	2	9
5	0	12
Total	**4**	**27**
Total Responsibility Score		**27/33 = 81%**

4. Auditor conclusions

- **Component 1 General Requirements:** Passie voor Rijpelaal has scored 60% for Component 1; it should be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.
- **Component 4 Eel buying and trading:** Passie voor Rijpelaal has scored 82% for Component 4; it should be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.
- **Component 5 Eel farming:** Passie voor Rijpelaal has scored 100% for Component 5; it should be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.
- **With an overall Responsibility score of 81%,** Passie voor Rijpelaal can be considered as **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard and suitable for certification.

5. Recommendations:

6. Next Audit

After the audit, the client was assessed against the risk assessment in the methodology in the table below.

Questions	Performance of the Client at Audit	YES	NO
1	Has the client been part of any external investigation which may be of concern to SEG AND/OR been suspended from any other certification standard?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q2
2	Has the client received a borderline (*) pass for a Component in its previous audit?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q3
3	Does the client only buy and sell product (does not physically handle it?)	Minimum Surveillance	Go to Q4
4	**All other scenarios**	**Standard Surveillance**	

	Certification Audit	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4 Recertification Audit
Minimum Surveillance	On-site Audit	Remote Audit	Remote Audit	Remote Audit	On-site Audit
Standard Surveillance	**On-site Audit**	**No Audit**	**On-site Audit**	**No Audit**	**On-site Audit**
Enhanced Surveillance	On-site Audit	On-site Audit	On-site Audit	On-site Audit	On-site Audit

As the client has been seen to fall into the Standard Surveillance bracket, the next audit will be due in December 2023 (in 2 years) and shall be an on-site audit.

Andres Fellenberg Van der Molen
Accredited SEG Assessor

7. The Assesment

The tables below give the assessment for each of the criteria in the standard and a rationale for the scores given above.

Component 1 – Generic requirements	
Criterion 1.1: Commitment to legality	
Responsible indicators	For at least the past two years: the organisation has not been found guilty of any offences relating to eel fishing or trading.
Aspiring indicators	For at least the past 12 months: the organisation has not been found guilty of any offences relating to eel fishing or trading.
Discussion	At the time of the assessment, the company declared that there had been no legal proceeding against the company under the evaluation in the past two years. There were no ongoing investigations either.
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 1.2: Contribution to Eel Conservation Projects. (Optional bonus score)	
Responsible indicators	The organisation donates at least 2% of its profits or at least 20% of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects, conservation and education projects.
Aspiring indicators	The organisation donates 1 – 1.99% of its profits or 10 - 20% of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects, conservation and education projects.
Discussion	The company's profits are paid into DUPAN on a range of 5-7%. This is a yearly contribution. Once a month, it is reported to DUPAN how much has been sold. €0.50 per kgs of round eels are then paid to DUPAN. The association maintains contacts with science, education, government, trade partners and the entire broad field of aquaculture. *Refer to evidence 1:1:1*
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 1.3: The organisation trades in certified responsibly sourced Eel	
Responsible indicators	The organisation trades in at least 50% (by number) of certified responsibly sourced Eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.
Aspiring indicators	The organisation trades in 10 – 49.9% (by number) of certified responsibly sourced Eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.

Criterion 1.4: Traceability	
1.4.1: Traceability - Incoming product, separation and segregation	
Responsible indicators	• Certified and uncertified eel products can be clearly and easily traced back to their source. • Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used • It operates a clear system which ensures that the product remains separated at all stages from arrival to dispatch from non-certified eel products. • The organisation ensures that any products wishing to make a claim as certified do not contain any non-certified eel-based ingredients. • If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 2%
Aspiring indicators	• Certified and uncertified eel products can be traced back to their source. • If segregation is not possible, there are clear and auditable records of the numbers of certified and uncertified eels entering the organisation at each facility • It can demonstrate through auditable records that the number of certified eels exiting the organisation in a ear did not exceed the number that entered • If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 5% or if a farm, the 2800 pieces per 1 kg of glass eels is applied.
1.4.2: Traceability - Outgoing product	
Responsible indicators	• Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used • Documentation is well maintained with a maximum of 2% error in the following: • The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment • All product to be sold as certified by an organisation is accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: - Includes an appropriate batch code - Includes a record of the quantity (no. & weight) of product and to whom it was sold
Aspiring indicators	• Documentation is well maintained. If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 5% in the following (or if a farm, the 2800 pieces per 1 kg of glass eels is applied): • The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment • All products to be sold as certified by an organisation are accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: - Includes an appropriate batch code - Includes a record of the quantity (no. & weight) of product and to whom it was sold
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal uses correct and accurate batch coding for product labelling and invoicing, including the order number, batch identification and traceability numbers required by the Dutch authorities and customers. Just one of the suppliers of Passie voor Rijpelaal delivers complete documentation per batch, including the INTRA code and full traceability from the catch of the glass eel, including the names of the fishers and their boats and the original signed documentation.

1.4.3: Traceability - Record keeping and documentation	
Responsible indicators	• The organisation operates a system that allows the tracking and tracing of all Eel from purchase to sale and including any steps in between. In the case of live eels this should include the ability to track each batch delivered to a buyer to be connected back to a water, a time period (maximum duration one month) and specific fisherman/vessel • If a fisherman or buyer, a tele-declaration system is used to report catches and trade • The organisation operates a system that also allows for the completion of a batch reconciliation of eel product by weight over a given period. • The organisation maintains records for a minimum of three (3) years.
Aspiring indicators	The above requirements are met except that: • Records have been maintained for less than three (3) years • If a fisherman or trader, a tele-declaration system is planned to be used to report catches and trade in the next season

1.5.2: Eel buying & trading: Biosecurity is present and disease is treated rapidly and appropriately	
Responsible indicators	• The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the appropriate EU regulations and of the country concerned. • The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant licensing authority • An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed. • Records are available showing regular monitoring of health and possible signs of stress according to the facility's plan (including the completion of microscope parasite checks) and daily mortality is recorded. • Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.
Aspiring indicators	• The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the appropriate EU regulations and of the country concerned. • The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant authority • An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed. • Eels are regularly monitored for health and possible signs of stress (although this might not be documented) and daily mortality is recorded.

	• Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.
Discussion	The volume of chemicals used is so small that the effect on the water quality is virtually non-existent. There are suitable biosecurity measures in place. No outside personnel are allowed onto the premises. Passie voor Rijpelaal has all the relevant permits and licences to operate as a company following the provisions of the Dutch authorities for farming, processing, and sale of fishery products. The company holds permits issued by the Dutch Food Standards Agency under number 221772. Passie voor Rijpelaal has eliminated almost all use of medication and has focused on maintaining the health of the eels by guaranteeing an excellent level of water quality. Eels arriving at the facility are placed in separate systems from eels already present as a form of quarantine. The facility usually uses pH as a form of controlling disease outbreaks. In the remote case, that medication is required for the eels; this is defined via veterinary approval. *Refer to Evidence 1:4:1*
Score	**Responsible**
1.5.3: Eel farming: Biosecurity is present, and disease is treated rapidly and appropriately	
Responsible indicators	• The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant authority. • The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the EU and of the country concerned • An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed. • Daily records are available showing monitoring of fish health and signs of stress and daily mortality is recorded • Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility • UV is used at an appropriate level and separation between tanks
Aspiring indicators	• The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant licensing authority • The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the EU and of the country concerned. • An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed. • Eels are regularly inspected for disease (although this may not be documented) and daily mortality is recorded. • Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal is listed by the public register of Authorised aquaculture production businesses number 221772, following the regulations of Article 6 of Directive 2006/88/EC implemented in Article 2.2.1 of the Dutch aquaculture Regulation, updated in April 2020. The company has eliminated almost all use of medicines and has focused on maintaining the health of the eels by ensuring an excellent level of water quality. In the unlikely event that medication is required for the eels, this is defined through veterinary approval. The company has a detailed daily record showing the monitoring of the health of the eels, including signs of stress and daily mortality.
	The nursery water does not contain any artificial additives. All water used in the nursery passes through a recirculation system. This means that all the water used is reused. This ensures extremely low energy consumption. The water in the tank is renewed constantly. The water passes through a filtration system and then returns to the tanks. Waste goes to the farm's own water purification system. The waste is separated from the water, and the water disappears into the sewage system. In addition, there are all kinds of heat exchangers, and all the waste heat is recovered.

	Refer to Evidence 4:3
Score	**Responsible**
1.5.4: Restocking: The risk of restocked eels introducing disease into wild populations has been assessed and is minimal	
Responsible indicators	Eels are tested before restocking and found to be free of disease AND/OR eels are from a known source which is tested on at least an annual basis and known to be free of disease.
Aspiring indicators	Eels are tested before restocking when first sourced from a new area, and periodically (at least annually) thereafter to ensure they are free from disease.
Discussion	The eels are under control concerning diseases; therefore, this is a part of the daily work process. The eels must pass the internal control before they leave the premises. Mr Wójcik directly controls this process, and without his supervision, the eels do not leave the facilities. The company appropriately provides all documentation requested by customers and authorities in the national and international markets. In all cases, it is always the intention of the company to deliver eels that are free of disease in all instances.
1.5.5: Wholesale / Retail / Processing: Hygiene Plans are followed and there are rare examples of infection	
Responsible indicators	Food processing hygiene plans are followed
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal does process food on a sample scale almost domestic. The company has all permissions to operate as a farm, and visually is easy to define Passie voor Rijpelaal facilities as adequately managed. The Dutch authorities do not request the farm to count with special permits or HCCP certifications.
Score	**Responsible**

Component 4 - Eel buying and trading	
Criterion 4.0: Segregation of certified and uncetified eels	
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	Certified and non-certified are kept separated, from point of collection through holding to sale and onward transport
No Aspiring indicators	
Criterion 4.1: The Glass Eel holding facility is a registered Aquaculture Production Business	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	The Glass Eel holding facility is a registered Aquaculture Production Business
Aspiring indicators	The facility is not a registered Aquaculture Production Business, but has credible plans to register within the next 6 months
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal is a company registered under the chamber of commerce of The Netherlands number 80679293, and aquaculture authorities NVWA under number 221772, which establishes its

	registration as a fish processor under SBI number code 1020 following the policy and regulations set by national and EU Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) and rules for aquaculture. *Refer to Evidence 1:4:1*
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 4.2: Mortality in storage facility	
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	Mortality rate over the season is less than 2% on average.
Aspiring indicators	Mortality rate over the season is less than or equal to 5% on average but greater than or equal to 2%
Discussion	According to the information provided by Mrs Welten and revised on-site, mortality is less than 1.0%. These results were calculated on-site via data provided by the management and controlled against the information from the waste management company Rendac. The company count on a consistent and established procedure to define a daily mortality rate. In 2020 presented, just 74Kg of death eels; this means 1357 pieces.
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 4.3: Mortality during transport and initial holding if transported to farm	
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	Buyers source at least 90% of their eels from certified suppliers OR Mortality during transport and for the first week at the farm is less than 2% on average
Aspiring indicators	Buyers source 50% - 89.9% of their eels from certified suppliers OR Mortality during transport and for the first week at the farm is less than or equal to 3% on average but greater than or equal to 2% on average.
Criterion 4.4: Water quality	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances for healthy eel survival (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, oxygen) Water quality management procedures are in place including regular monitoring of relevant parameters which shows that water quality is always high and stable The facility operates a back-up system to ensure that water quality will not adversely affect survival rates in the case of an equipment failure
Aspiring indicators	A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances for healthy eel survival (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, oxygen) The facility has a minimum of a back-up generator and oxygen supply
Discussion	Water quality plays an essential role at Passie voor Rijpelaal, as water control has made it possible to eliminate diseases and avoid supplying the eels with medicines. The water comes from a deep well of 180 metres, and pH, and oxygen levels are checked regularly.
	Passie voor Rijpelaal has the appropriate permits related to groundwater rights. The company employ effective systems of filtration, resulting in clean breeding water. All water used in the nursery passes through a recirculation system. This means that all the water used is reused. This ensures extremely low energy consumption. *Refer to Evidence 4:3*
Score	**Responsible**

Criterion 4.5: Handling and welfare	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	Systems are in place and the facility is designed to keep handling to an absolute minimum Documented procedures are in place for handling, and handling, where necessary, is careful The infrastructure is designed to avoid injuries, and so that the use of nets is rarely necessary. When used, nets are small-mesh (1mm maximum) Eels are moved without being allowed to dry out.
Aspiring indicators	The facility may not be optimally designed, but systems are in place to avoid handling as much as possible within the constraints of the facility Handling, where necessary, is carefully planned and executed The infrastructure has been optimised as far as possible to avoid injuries Nets are small-mesh (1mm maximum) Eels are moved without being allowed to dry out.
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal facilities are optimised as much as possible to avoid handling to prevent injuries. The auditor checked the entire handling without presenting substantial evidence of handling and eel welfare deficiencies. *Refer to Evidence 4:4*
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 4.6: Transport	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	There is a Transport Plan in place to minimise travel time – this meets the Transport requirements for vertebrates Packing is done in a way that minimises handling, time and stress Eels are kept cool and wet with an adequate supply of oxygen The operator holds the relevant transport authorisations
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal transport process from aquaculture to customers is exclusive to supply Rijpelaal. Customers come with their own vehicles to pick up the Eel. Passie voor Rijpelaal makes it easy to load the vehicles most efficiently and effectively without damaging the Eel's welfare. Handling is minimum, minimising time and stress. The client's vehicles are equipped with appropriate systems following all Dutch and European regulations in this matter. *Refer to evidence 4:4*
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 4.7: The required percentage of glass eels is being used for restocking	
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	The buyer can provide documented evidence that they have sold at least 60% for restocking the required target percentage of its glass eels from the last season for the primary purpose of conservation / escapement.
Aspiring indicators	The buyer can provide documented evidence that they have reserved or made available at least 60% of the required target percentage of its glass eels from the latest season available for the primary purpose of conservation / escapement, OR The buyer can provide documented evidence that it has made available glass eels to the maximum level possible within the constraints of the implementation of the EMP in that country OR The buyer can provide credible evidence that restocking will occur in the forthcoming season.
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal has sufficient evidence with which it has demonstrated that at least 15% has been sold for the primary purpose of conservation/escapement. This evidence is shown in the source document with the respective INTRA codes and documentation.
Score	**Not Applicable | Farm | Refer to 5.7**

Component 5 - Eel farming
Criterion 5.1: The total mortality rate during the culture process is low
Weighting: 2

Responsible indicators	The Percentage Mortality Rate of eels in culture is less than or equal to 10% on average in the current and previous year OR as an average of the previous five years An accurate daily log is maintained of the number and causes of mortality
Aspiring indicators	The Percentage Mortality Rate of eels in culture is between 10 and 15% on average in the current and previous years OR as an average of the previous five years. An accurate daily log is maintained of the number of mortalities

Criterion 5.2: The fish meal/oil ingredients in the feed come from a responsible source	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	Fish meal/oil in the feed (including juvenile feeds) is certified by IFFO or MSC or shown in some other way to be from responsible or sustainable sources
Aspiring indicators	Fish meal/oil in the feed (including juvenile feeds) is not certified by IFFO or MSC or shown to be from responsible sources, but there are credible plans to move to such a supplier within 2 years

Criterion 5.3: Feed is used as efficiently as possible	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	The average feed conversion ratios in the farm are as follows: • Glass eel to fingerlings: 1.1 or less • Fingerlings to 200g: 1.6 or less • Large eels: 2.0 or less
Aspiring indicators	The average feed conversion ratios in the farm are as follows: • Glass eel to fingerlings: 1.3 or less • Fingerlings to 200g: 1.8 or less • Large eels: 2.2 or less
Discussion	The feeding of the eels at Passie voor Rijpelaal is crucial for the eels' health and the company's commercial success. Feeding is done through timed automated dispensers through an automatic silo controlled system. The feeding process is carried out in different ways depending on the growth cycle of the glass eel. The first part of the cycle starts with glass eel tanks supplied with an automated system. The medium and large tanks use pendulum feeders, which are activated depending on the eel activity in the tanks. FCR figures were calculated for each size range identified in the standard as 1.0 - 1.1 for Glass eels to fingerlings; Fingerlings 1.3 - 1.5; and less than 2.0 for larger eels. *Refer to Evidence 5:1*
Score	**Responsible**

Criterion 5.4: Water quality	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	• A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances for healthy eel survival (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, oxygen) • Water quality management procedures are in place including regular monitoring of relevant parameters which shows that water quality is always high and stable • Water quality monitoring is linked to an alarm-based system in the event of a sudden drop in water quality • The facility operates a back-up system to ensure that water quality will not adversely affect survival rates in the case of a power supply failure.
Aspiring indicators	• A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, Oxygen) • Water quality management procedures are in place and there is regular monitoring of relevant parameters which shows that water quality is always high and stable.
Discussion	Water quality plays an essential role at Passie voor Rijpelaal, as water control has made it possible to eliminate diseases and avoid supplying the eels with medicines.
	The company employ effective systems of filtration, resulting in clean breeding water. All water used in the nursery passes through a recirculation system. This means that all the water used is reused. This ensures extremely low energy consumption. Oxygen reserves are kept at the facility in case any of the systems require immediate saturation, should one of the oxygenation systems fail or require maintenance. Water quality monitoring is linked to alarm systems in case of any sudden incidents concerning water quality. In addition, the entire water circuit is connected to an emergency generator to ensure the eels' survival and maintain a constant water cycle in the event of a power failure. *Refer to Evidence 5:2*
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 5.5: There are minimal ecological impacts from effluent discharge	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	• The system is closed-circuit and has no discharge OR • Effluent discharge is regularly tested by the farm AND • Effluent discharge complies with all local and national requirements AND • Has not been found to be non-compliant in the past 5 years.
Aspiring indicators	• Effluent discharge is regularly tested by the farm AND/OR • Has been found to be non-compliant on no more than 1 occasion in the past 5 years.
Discussion	The management handles the discharge and water management, where the waste generated by the aquaculture process is effectively managed, and the energy recovery has been added to this. The waste is removed periodically and given to local farmers to fertilise their land. No records have been found to indicate any infringements regarding the quality of the water discharged from the installation. Passie voor Rijpelaal follows the municipality's plans as stipulated in the Municipal Sewage Plan. *Refer to Evidence 5:3*
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 5.6: Grading, slaughter and transportation are carried out with respect to welfare	
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	• Grading is completed in an efficient manner

	• Slaughter is completed by a method that provides an instant death or renders them insensible to pain, i.e. electric stunning or percussive stunning. • Procedures are in place to ensure transportation provides suitable conditions for fish welfare.
Aspiring indicators	• Other, previously acceptable methods of stunning before slaughter are used, e.g. chilling, but there are credible plans in place to invest in the latest methods within the next 2 years
Discussion	Passie voor Rijpelaal has a 4-size grading machine. This machine fulfils the function of sorting the eels efficiently to move the eels. The company does not have a slaughtering process in the facilities. Live eels leave the Passie voor Rijpelaal facility via logistical transport. Cooling before transport is carried out in separate tanks following grading where eels are lowered in temperature gradually from 23°C to around 18°C over one week to habituate and purge eels before final weighing, loading and transportation and processes do not allow to lets the eels go without water or dry out. *Refer to evidence 4:4*
Score	**Responsible**
Criterion 5.7: The farm provides Eel for restocking	
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	The farm can provide documented evidence that 10% or more of the farm's annual eel production (by piece) has been provided for restocking for the purpose of conservation / escapement.
Aspiring indicators	The farm can provide documented evidence that it makes 10 % of their annual eel production (by piece) available for restocking for the primary purpose of conservation / escapement AND/OR for new clients, the farm can demonstrate that they have bookings for re-stocking in the following year at more than 10% of the predicted annual eel production (by piece) for the purpose of conservation / escapement.
Criterion 5.8: Eels for restocking are not graded out slow-growers	
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	The size range and quantities in the eels for restocking reflect 100% that for the age group in the whole farm
Aspiring indicators	The size range and quantities indicate no more than a 25% supplement of those for restocking are from slower growing fish of the same age group
Discussion	Eels purchased for restocking do not undergo sorting processes. They, therefore, reflect and represent the actual state of glass eels from where they were caught and are kept separate from eels intended for processing and human consumption. Consequently, the size range received and the quantities of eels for restocking reflect 100% of the age group received, unaltered and unmanipulated.
Score	**Responsible**

8. On-site Evidence per Component

Component 1			
Evidence	Evidence	Evidence	Description
1:1:1	 **Het ESF-palingfonds helpt de palingstand in de Nederlandse binnenwateren.** Met de paling in Nederland gaat het steeds beter. Rijpelaal helpt hieraan mee door het ESF-keurmerk te dragen en per product een bijdrage te doen aan het ESF-palingfonds. Bij bij elk broodje paling help jij dus ook mee aan de terugkeer van wilde paling in Nederland! Naast dat er jonge paling en glasaal wordt uitgezet helpt het ESF-palingfonds de volwassen paling ook over de stuwen en dijken heen zodat ze zich weer kunnen voortplanten in het zoute water waar ze ooit geboren zijn.		Passie voor Rijpelaal presents the company to the public as part of DUPAN via Rijpelaal Web page.
1:2			The farm has separate tanks, and each batch is managed separately and is not mixed to avoid cross-contamination between eels.



1:4:1	**MODEL VOOR AQUACULTUURPRODUCTIEBEDRIJVEN DIE VIS HOUDEN** **(ALS BEDOELD IN ARTIKEL 2, LID 2, ONDER a))** **Informatie overeenkomstig artikel 59 van Richtlijn 2006/88/EG**	Information in accordance with Article 59 of Directive 2006/88/EG and Chamber of Commerce Register. Passie voor Rijpelaal, is not listed yet but counts already with the number 221772. This number will replace the register 219445 from the farm.

Informatie	Kwekerij 1	Kwekerij 2
1.Aquacultuurproductiebedrijf	1.1.1. Naam aquacultuurproductiebedrijf: **P.K. Martens B.V.** 1.1.2. Adres of ligging van de kwekerij Zeesweg 26 C, 5975 PP Sevenum	1.2.1. Naam van: — het aquacultuurproductiebedrijf — de kwekerij 1.2.2. Adres of ligging van de kwekerij
2. Registratienummer (voor elke kwekerij)	2.1. Vergunningnummer: 219445	2.2.
7. Kwekerijproductie (voor elke kwekerij) (¹)	7.1.1. ☐ Broedhuis („hatchery") 7.1.2. ☐ Kweekkamer („nursery") 7.1.3. ■ Broedstock 7.1.4. ■ Opkweek („grow-out") voor menselijke consumptie 7.1.5. ☐ „Put-and-take-visbedrijven" 7.1.6. ☐ Andere	7.2.1. ☐ Broedhuis („hatchery") 7.2.2. ☐ Kweekkamer („nursery") 7.2.3. ☐ Broedstock 7.2.4. ☐ Opkweek („grow-out") voor menselijke consumptie 7.2.5. ☐ „Put-and-take-visbedrijven" 7.2.6. ☐ Andere

Gegevens Passie voor Rijpelaal B.V.

Kvk nummer	80679293
Branche	Kweken van zoetwatervis en -schaaldieren
Soort inschrijving	Hoofdvestiging
Werkzame personen	1 werknemer
Adres	Zeesweg 26c 5975PP Sevenum

Component 4			
Reference	Evidence 01	Evidence 02	Description
4:1	 		The tanks are clearly separated. The eels are not mixed in each tank. Each tank represents individual, isolated batches.

4:4	 	The handling of the eels is minimal, and the company takes care to minimise contact with the Eel. The machinery is suitable to minimise possible damage to the Eel.

Component 5			
Reference	Evidence 01	Evidence 02	Description
5:1	 		Feeding is done through timed automated dispensers through a computerised silo-controlled system.

5:2	 	The water comes from his deep well of 180 metres The water quality and control are monitored daily. Emergy systems and alarms are implemented in the farm's operations in case of failure.
5:3	 	The waste is removed periodically and given to local farmers to fertilise their land. A heat recovery system is present.