



**Civelle Durable, Code: SEG 0063** 

**Assessment against SEG Standard:** 

Component 1: Core requirements

Component 2: Glass eel fishing

**Completed by** 

**Fabien Charrier** 

14 December 2020

Version 1.2

Reviewed and Approved by Certification Body:

David Bunt, Sustainable Eel Group, 6 January 2021

#### 1) Introduction

This document represents the report carried out following the audit of December 2020 carried out within the framework of the SEG (Sustainable Eel Group) standard (version 6.0a, December 2019) with a group of fishermen with a group of 14 fishermen operating in the Gironde. These fishermen are already SEG-certified (SEG 0063), a fisherman bought back a boat that belonged to a SEG-certified fisherman. The fishermen concerned by this certification sell their glass eels directly to the trader at the landing ports.

The company Civelle Durable organised this audit and the group of fishermen will be called "Pêcheurs de Gironde". This assessment was carried out in relation to components 1 and 2 of the standard.

On the Gironde, fishermen work on the middle part of the estuary between Mortagne-sur-Gironde and Vitrezay. They use two large pushed nets called Pibalour. The nets are rectangular in shape with a width between 4 and 6 m and a height between 0.9 and 1.15 m. Each net has a surface of 4 to 7 m² depending on the fishermen, for a total surface of between 8 and 14 m². The length of the gear is also variable between 5.5 and 8 meters. Fishermen fish both day and night on the Gironde. Fishing is always carried out against the current, both during the flow and at ebb tide. Between the different fishermen, the mesh sizes of entrances and intermediaries can vary. However, the final mesh size is for all of them inferior or equal to  $1000 \, \mu m$ . All fishermen use 2 or 3 sieves to separate glass eels from by-catches and organic waste. Fishermen store glass eels either in a fish tank or dry in polystyrene boxes. Fishermen sell their glass eels directly to the fish wholesaler at the time of landing.

14 fishermen are concerned by the audit. A total of 9 fishermen were audited on the same day. In addition, a visit was made to the port by a fisherman who had engine damage prior to our visit. Even though he was not fishing, he was able to show the fishing gear and explain his technique.







**Civelle Durable, Code: SEG 0063** 

The following professional fishermen are concerned by this process of SEG certification for a control audit.

[names not published yet – awaiting permission]

The evaluator was Fabien Charrier of the Fish-Pass company. The visit took place on December 14 2020. The audited fishermen were identified above. The fishermen were met successively thanks to a zodiac that allowed them to go from boat to boat. Each meeting lasted 30 to 45 minutes.

### 2) Client Contact Details

The company Civelle Durable requested this audit. The resource person is therefore the director of this structure.

Nom/Entreprise Civelle Durable / Benoit Chambon	
Adresse postale	La Fromigère, 17250 Sainte-Gemme
Adresse mail	civelledurablefrance@gmail.com
Numéro de téléphone	06 26 71 57 63







**Civelle Durable, Code: SEG 0063** 

### 3) Results of the assessment

The outcome of this assessment is as follows:

Component 1: General Requirements	Auditor's findings	Weighting	Score
1.1 Commitment to Legality	Responsible	1	1
1.2 Contribution to eel conservation projects (bonus)	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.3 The organisation trades in certified responsibly sourced eels	Responsible	1	1
1.4 Traceability:			
1.4.1 Incoming products, separation and segregation	Responsible	1	1
1.4.2 Outgoing products	Responsible	1	1
1.4.3 Record keeping and documentation	Responsible	1	1
1.5.1 Biosecurity & welfare – Biosecurity measures are adopted	Responsible	1	1
	Total	6	6
Percentage Responsibility Score: 100%		%	

**Finding**: The fishery meets the generic requirements and can be considered **responsible** for this component.

Component 2: Glass eel fishing	Auditor's findings	Weighting	Score
2.1 Eel fishing is in a catchment that is meeting its escapement targets	Aspiring	2	0
2.2 There is good progress with the applicant's responsibilities in the eel management plan for the river or district	Responsible	2	2
2.3 The fishery is well managed	Responsible	1	1
2.4 Mortality during fishing is minimised	Aspiring	2	0
2.5 The fishery has negligible impacts on by-catch species	Responsible	1	1
2.6 The fishery has negligible impacts on rare or other protected species	Responsible	1	1
2.7 The fishery has negligible impacts on habitats	Responsible	1	1
2.8 Transport	Responsible	1	1
2.9 Bonus score: fishermen donate a proportion of their catch for a local positive contribution	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total	11	7
Percentage Responsibility Score: 64%		6	

**Finding**: The fishery meets part of the criteria of the elver component (64%) but is considered **responsible** under the SEG standard.

### Summary of assessment and scoring

Component	Not Met	Aspiring	Responsible
1	0	0	6
2	0	4	7
Total	0	4	13
Total Responsibility Score: = 76%			76%







**Civelle Durable, Code: SEG 0063** 

### **Summary finding:**

With a score on the responsibility criteria of 76%, the fishermen of Gironde have reached the level required to be considered **responsible** and meet the criteria for **certification by the SEG standard**.

### 4) Recommendations:

Fish-Pass makes the following recommendations with respect to the Gironde fishery:

2.5: Among the by-catch species, Black bullhead (Ameiurus melas) and Pumpkinseed (Lepomis gibbosus) were caught. These are species susceptible to cause biological imbalances and are classified in Article R 432-5 of the French Environmental Code. Therefore, they should not be released.

#### 5) Next Audit

Question	Performance of the Client at Audit	Yes	No
1	Has the client been part of any external investigation which may be of concern to SEG AND/OR been suspended from any other certification standard?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q2
2	Has the client received a borderline pass for a Component in its previous audit?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q3
3	Does the client only buy and sell product (does not physically handle it?)	Minimum Surveillance	Go to Q4
4	All other scenarios	Standard S	urveillance

	Certification Audit	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4 Recertification Audit
Minimum Surveillance	On-Site Audit	No Audit	Remote Audit	No Audit	On-Site Audit
Standard Surveillance	On-Site Audit	No Audit	On-Site Audit	No Audit	On-Site Audit
Enhanced Surveillance	On-Site Audit	On-Site Audit	On-Site Audit	On-Site Audit	On-Site Audit

Standard Surveillance is recommended. The next Audit should be scheduled for December 2022.







**Civelle Durable, Code: SEG 0063** 

### 6) The Assessment

The tables below give the standard and a rationale for the scores given above.

Component 1 – Generic requirements (Weighting: 1 for each criterion)		
Criterion 1.1: Comm	itment to legality	
Responsible indicators	For at least the past two years: the organisation has not been found guilty for any offences relating to eel fishing or trading.	
Aspiring indicators	For at least the past 12 months: the organisation has not been found guilty for any offences relating to eel fishing or trading.	
Discussion	The ten fishermen have no legal proceedings pending. The criterion is therefore met.	
Score	Responsible	

	Criterion 1.2: Contribution to Eel Conservation Projects. (Optional bonus score) (The intention is for this to be mandatory from summer 2020)	
Responsible indicators	The organisation donates at least 2% of its profits or at least 20% of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects, conservation and education projects.	
Aspiring indicators	The organisation donates $1-1.99\%$ of its profits or $10-20\%$ of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects, conservation and education projects.	
Discussion	N/A	
Score	N/A	

Criterion 1.3:	Criterion 1.3: The organisation trades in certified responsibly sourced eel	
Responsible indicators	The organisation trades in at least 50% (by number) of certified responsibly sourced eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.	
Aspiring indicators	The organisation trades in $10 - 49.9\%$ (by number) of certified responsibly sourced eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.	
Discussion	The fishery has been SEG certified for the past 2 years and has been trading in 100% SEG certified eel in that time	
Score	Responsible	







Criterion 1.4: Traceability	
1.4.1: Traceal	pility - Incoming product, separation and segregation
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Certified and uncertified eel products can be clearly and easily traced back to their source.</li> <li>Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used.</li> <li>It operates a clear system which ensures that the product remains separated at all stages from arrival to dispatch from non-certified eel products.</li> <li>The organisation ensures that any products wishing to make a claim as certified do not contain any non-certified eel-based ingredients.</li> <li>If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 2%.</li> </ul>
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>Certified and uncertified eel products can be traced back to their source.</li> <li>If segregation is not possible, there are clear and auditable records of the numbers of certified and uncertified eels entering the organisation at each facility.</li> <li>It can demonstrate through auditable records that the number of certified eels exiting the organisation in a ear did not exceed the number that entered.</li> <li>If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 5% or if a farm, the 2800 pieces per 1 kg of glass eels is applied.</li> </ul>
Discussion	All fishers audited use teledeclaration. This system allows them to report their catches via SMS directly to the Fishery Committee. A computerized database allows the fishermen's quota to be monitored finely and to avoid exceeding the authorized quotas.  Each fishing day is declared on paper via fishing sheets in triplicate: one for the administration (France Agrimer), one for the fisherman and the last one is kept by the fisherman.  This criterion is therefore met.
Score	Responsible

1.4.2: Traceability - Outgoing product	
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used</li> <li>Documentation is well maintained with a maximum of 2% error in the following:</li> <li>The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment</li> <li>All product to be sold as certified by an organisation is accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: <ul> <li>Includes an appropriate batch code</li> <li>Includes a record of the quantity (no. &amp; weight) of product and to whom it was sold</li> </ul> </li> </ul>





Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>Documentation is well maintained. If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 5% in the following (or if a farm, the 2800 pieces per 1 kg of glass eels is applied):</li> <li>The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment.</li> <li>All products to be sold as certified by an organisation are accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: <ul> <li>Includes an appropriate batch code.</li> <li>Includes a record of the quantity (no. &amp; weight) of product and to whom it was sold.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Discussion	As in the previous point, this audit concerns only fishermen and they use a system of electronic tele-declaration and fishing form. The criterion is therefore met.
Score	Responsible

1.4.3: Traceal	1.4.3: Traceability - Record keeping and documentation	
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The organisation operates a system that allows the tracking and tracing of all eel from purchase to sale and including any steps in between. In the case of live eels this should include the ability to track each batch delivered to a buyer to be connected back to a water, a time period (maximum duration one month) and specific fisherman/vessel.</li> <li>If a fisherman or buyer, a tele-declaration system is used to report catches and trade.</li> <li>The organisation operates a system that also allows for the completion of a batch reconciliation of eel product by weight over a given period.</li> <li>The organisation maintains records for a minimum of three (3) years.</li> </ul>	
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>The above requirements are met except that:</li> <li>Records have been maintained for less than three (3) years</li> <li>If a fisherman or trader, a tele-declaration system is planned to be used to report catches and trade in the next season</li> </ul>	
Discussion	The fishermen all use an electronic tele-declaration system and fishing forms. These data are kept by the administrative authorities for more than 3 years.  The criterion is therefore met.	
Score	Responsible	

Criterion 1.5: Biosecurity & welfare – Eel and eel products are provided with minimal risk of diseases, parasites and alien species	
1.5.1 Eel Fishing: Bios	security measures are adopted
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The fishery conducts good biosecurity measures such as the disinfection and drying of nets and equipment between each fishing in different waters. OR</li> <li>The fishermen only operate in the same river or estuary, with no risk of transferring diseases or alien species between catchments.</li> </ul>
Discussion	All fishermen stay the wole glass eels season on the same estuary near their home port. It is then not possible to move possible diseases or exotic species.  The criterion is therefore met.
Score	Responsible







Summary scores for Component 1	
Not met	0
Aspiring	0
Not applicable	1
Responsible	6
Total possible	6
% Responsibility (Responsible / Total possible)	100%

Component 2 - Glass eel fishing		
Criterion 2.1: E	Criterion 2.1: Eel fishing is in a catchment that is meeting its escapement targets	
Weighting: 2		
Sustainable Indicator (2 x responsible Indicator Score)	There are good data which show to the satisfaction of the fisheries authority that the EU silver eel 40% escapement target (40% B0) is being achieved for the river or in the eel management district.	
Responsible indicators	There are good data which show to the satisfaction of the fisheries authority that at least 70% of the Bbest target for silver eel escapement is being met in the river or eel management district.	
Aspiring indicators	Eel fishing is in a place accepted by the fishery authority as providing a positive contribution to the eel stock or, the river or RBD is meeting 40% - <70% of the Bbest target.	
Discussion	The information available on this subject (Report of the Eel Management Plan in France, 2018) shows that, for the moment, the objective of 40% of the B0 or 70% of the BBEST is not achieved, both in the concerned Management Unit (MU) (GDC) and in the other French MUs. Moreover, we do not have precise information by catchment area to judge this criterion more precisely.  However, all the actions provided for in the French Eel Management Plan (PGA) have been implemented and the rebuilding of the eel stock requires long-term action. The effects of the measures taken in recent years are not observable for the moment. The actions carried out by the fishing sector are detailed in the following criterion.  Considering all this, the criterion is not met but significant efforts have been made since the establishment of the Eel Management Plan, in particular by professional fishermen.	
Score	Aspiring	

Criterion 2.2: There is good progress with the applicant's responsibilities in the Eel Management Plan for the river or District	
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	There is credible progress with at least 75% of the actions relating to the fishery for the implementation of the Eel Management Plan for the river or eel management district.







Aspiring	There is credible progress with at least 50% of the actions relating to the fishery for the
indicators	implementation of the Eel Management Plan for the river or eel management district.
Discussion	Professional fisheries stakeholders have implemented the majority of actions related to the EMP.  So, the exploitation rate of glass eel stock has decreased significantly since the reference period. This rate has been relatively stable in recent years and fluctuates around the management target.  The allocation of glass eel fishing licences has decreased by 57% between 2006 and 2018.  The ratio of the fishing quota 40% consumption and 60% restocking is unchanged since 2013. However, the target of 60% glass eels for restocking in Europe has never been reached, but the profession is getting closer to this target over the years. Reaching this objective is dependent on the European market, which is not the responsibility of professional fishermen.  The overall catch quota has decreased for the 2020-2021 season by a total of 57.5 tonnes, a reduction of 11.5% compared to the previous season.  Finally, France allocates between 5 and 10% of annual catches to French restocking operations, 5.8% in 2018.
	In view of all these elements, it can be considered that this criterion has been met.
Score	Responsible

Criterion 2.3:	The fishery is well managed
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Fishers are licensed and provide catch and effort data via a tele-declaration system.</li> <li>Data on catch and effort are collected and analysed regularly by the fishery authority (at least annually at the end of the season).</li> <li>There is a data set for at least the last 5 years that is considered by the fishery authority to be accurate, useful for statistical purposes and provide a comprehensive picture of the glass eel fishery under assessment.</li> <li>Enforcement is in place throughout the fishing area and there is no evidence of systematic non-compliance.</li> </ul>
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>Fishers are licensed and provide catch and effort data.</li> <li>Data on catch and effort are collected and analysed regularly by the fishery authority (at least annually at the end of the season).</li> <li>There is a data set for at least the last 3 years that is considered by the fishery authority to be accurate and provide enough information on the glass eel fishery under assessment for management and to track annual trends in glass eel arrival.</li> <li>There is no evidence of systematic non-compliance.</li> </ul>
Discussion	All fishermen have a license and proceed to the electronic declaration in addition to the declaration by the fishing form.  The figures relating to the fishery are monitored throughout the season by the Fishery Committee to know the exact consumption of the quota and thus avoid a preventive closure. These data are obtained through electronic reporting.  The official data come from the fishing forms sent by the fishermen to the administrative authorities. Thus, the Direction des Pêches Maritimes et de l'Aquaculture (DPMA) collects and compiles these data. During the glass eels fishing season, the DPMA distributes a table every week to report on the consumption of quotas in the different EMU. In May-June, when the season is over, the DPMA distributes a statistical compendium (quota consumption, market price, number of spawners, etc.) per EMU. There is a reliable data set







	of more than 5 years. This criterion has therefore been met.
Score	Responsible

Criterion 2.4:	Mortality during fishing is minimised
Weighting: 2	
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Fishing is by hand-held nets and has effective nearby holding facilities OR</li> <li>Fishing from vessels meets the following criteria:         <ol> <li>fishing is at slow speed (no more than 1 knot relative to water);</li> <li>haul duration is on average no longer than 20 minutes, with the maximum duration not more than 30 minutes;</li> <li>mesh size of cod end no greater than 1mm;</li> <li>rest of the net designed such that glass eels do not become trapped or abraded;</li> <li>vivier tank on board and in use;</li> <li>fishermen maintain accurate daily records of mortality. OR</li> </ol> </li> <li>Fishermen can demonstrate that the mortality rate of the catch over the duration of holding in the storage facility is less than 4% for each batch captured. OR</li> <li>Fishing methods (in France) meet the criteria in Category 1 of the France Good Practice Guide. OR</li> </ul>
	The Carmin Indigo or similar test indicates that mortality averages less than 4%.
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>Fishing from vessels meets the following criteria:         <ol> <li>i) fishing is at slow speed (no more than 1.5 knots relative to water);</li> <li>ii) maximum haul duration no longer than 30 minutes;</li> <li>iii) mesh size of cod end no greater than 1mm;</li> <li>iv) rest of the net designed such that glass eels do not become trapped or abraded;</li> <li>v) vivier tank on board and in use;</li> <li>vi) fishermen maintain accurate daily records of mortality. OR</li> <li>Fishermen can demonstrate that the mortality rate of the catch over the duration of holding in the storage facility is between 4% and 8% for each batch captured. OR</li> <li>Fishing methods (in France) meet the criteria in Category 2 of the France Good Practice Guide. OR</li> <li>The Carmin Indigo or similar test indicates that mortality averages between 4% and 8%.</li> <li>The Carmin Indigo or similar test indicates that mortality averages between 4% and 8%.</li> <li>The Carmin Indigo or similar test indicates that mortality averages between 4% and 8%.</li> <li>The Carmin Indigo or similar test indicates that mortality averages between 4% and 8%.</li> <li>The Carmin Indigo or similar test indicates that mortality averages between 4% and 8%.</li> <li>The Carmin Indigo or similar test indicates that mortality averages between 4% and 8%.</li></ol></li></ul>
Discussion	The practices on boats audited are relatively homogeneous. The shape of the gears is fairly similar. The nets are rectangular in shape with a width between 4 and 6 m and a height between 0.9 and 1.15 m. Each net has an area of 4 to 7 m² depending on the fishermen, for a total area between 8 and 14 m². The length of the gear is also variable between 5.5 and 8 meters.  In terms of speed, all the fishermen follow one another, the speeds are thus quite homogeneous. It varies between 0.5 and 1 knot depending on the strength of the current. The fishermen make 15 to 20 minute hauls, exceptionally up to 30 minutes.  The mesh size of the gears is generally decreasing around 2 mm at the entrance, around 1.5 mm in the intermediate part of the net and 1 mm at the end of the net.  No glass eels were observed trapped in any part other than the end. The catches were all passed through two or three sieves depending on the fishermen, in order to separate the glass eels from the by-catch. All by-catches are released quickly. No immediate mortality was observed.  Among the 10 fishermen audited, 6 fishermen stock in live tanks on board. 2 other fishermen stock in polystyrene boxes in case of small quantities of glass eels and then in live tanks in case of large quantities. Finally, two fishermen store only in polystyrene boxes. This is not in agreement with point V) of this criterion. However, this storage technique is





**Civelle Durable, Code: SEG 0063** 

	authorized in the « Guide des bonnes pratiques de la pêche à la civelle en France ». After the tide, the fishermen sell the elvers directly to the fish merchants at the port of landing.  Concerning point vi) fishermen do not keep data on mortality. Similarly, it is not possible to meet the criteria of Category 2 of the Guide des Bonnes Pratiques de France, 6 fishermen having a motorization greater than 100 HP despite low speed during fishing. However, the mortality recorded by Civelle Durable is on average 2.26%.  Thus, most of the criteria are met, except for the presence of the fish tank on all the boats. An aspiring score is therefore recommended considering the absence of fish tanks for some fishermen.
Score	Aspiring

Criterion 2.5: T	Criterion 2.5: The fishery has negligible impacts on by-catch species	
Weighting: 1		
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The fishery has a negligible impact on by-catch.</li> <li>By-catch is returned to the water alive as gently and rapidly as possible.</li> </ul>	
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>The fishery has low-level impacts on by-catch.</li> <li>By-catch is returned to the water alive as gently and rapidly as possible.</li> </ul>	
Discussion	The most common by-catches encountered are as follows: Eel, Spotted goby, Thinlip grey mullet, Stickleback, Sea bass, Sand goby, Black bullhead, Pumpkinseed, Pike-perch, Common carp, Crucian carp, Bleak, Topmouth gudgeon, Brown shrimp and White shrimp. These species, caught in low numbers, return to the water quickly and without major impacts. However, it should be remembered that Black bullhead and Pumpkinseed are species likely to cause biological imbalances and are classified in Article R 432-5 of the French Environment Code. Therefore, they should not be released back into the water.	
Score	Responsible	

Criterion 2.6: T	Criterion 2.6: The fishery has negligible impacts on rare or other protected species	
Weighting: 1	Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	The fishery has no direct interactions resulting in mortality or injuries with other species that are considered vulnerable, threatened, endangered or are protected under national or international law.	
Aspiring indicators	Interactions, resulting in mortality or injury, with other species that are considered vulnerable, threatened, endangered, or are protected under national or international law, are rare and have no overall measurable impact on the population.	
Discussion	Among the species caught, the presence of yellow eels was observed in the sieves. The fishermen take care to put them back in the water. We did not observe the presence of other vulnerable or protected species on board.  The criterion is therefore met	
Score	Responsible	

Criterion 2.7:	The fishery has	negligible	impacts on	habitats

Weighting: 1







Responsible indicators	The fishing gear does not cause any damage to the benthos.
Aspiring indicators	Damage to the benthos by gear is limited or minimal.
Discussion	On the Gironde, the nets are equipped at their end with a skid that allows them to slide over the mud and keep the nets about 10-15 cm from the substrate. The damage caused to the benthos is thus negligible and present only near the skids.  This criterion is therefore met.
Score	Responsible

Criterion 2.8: Transport			
Weighting: 1			
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The operator holds the relevant transport authorisations.</li> <li>There is a Transport Plan in place to minimise travel time – this meets the Transport requirements for vertebrates.</li> <li>Packing is done in a way that minimises handling, time and stress.</li> <li>Eels are kept cool and wet with an adequate supply of oxygen.</li> </ul>		
Discussion	The fishing form is filled in at the end of the tide. All fishermen sell directly to the fish wholesaler in the port of landing. All fishermen use plastic or polystyrene boxes to land glass eels. The criterion is therefore met.		
Score	Responsible		

Criterion 2.9: Bonus Score: Fishermen donate a proportion of their catch for a local positive contribution				
Weighting: 1				
Responsible indicators	Fishermen have donated an average of at least 5% of their catch in the past 2 years to local stocking programmes, e.g. translocating over barriers to aid upstream migration and recruitment in the catchment, or have credible plans in place to do so next season (note that this is separate from any planned restocking to meet the 60% target).			
Discussion	N/A			
Score	N/A			

Summary scores for Component 2		
Not met	0	
Not applicable	1	
Aspiring	4	
Responsible	7	
Total possible	11	
% Responsibility (Responsible / Total possible)	64%	







