



Eel Assessment – Paviljoen Smit-Bokkum BV

Assessment against:

Component 1: Core requirements
Component 4: Eel buying and trading
Component 7: Processing, wholesale and retail supplies

Completed by Richard Wailes

21st January 2019

FINAL REPORT

Introduction

This document represents the report completed following the 2019 audit carried out under the Sustainable Eel Standard (Version 6.0, June 2018) against Paviljoen Smit-Bokkum BV. This assessment has been completed against Components 1, 4 & 7 of the Standard only.

The assessment is of a Processor / Retailer / Restaurant located in Volendam, Netherlands. It is a family business going back 150+ years.

1. The assessment

The assessor was Richard Wailes of Control Union Pesca Ltd, who visited Paviljoen Smit-Bokkum BV on the 21st January 2019. The audit included interviews with Evert Smit (Owner), Tini Veerman (Accountant) and Jan Smit (Retired but still running the smoker).

2. Client Contact Details

Client Contact Name	Evert Smit
Client Address	Slobbeland 19, 1131 AA, Volendam, Netherlands
Client Email	info@smitbokkum.nl
Client Phone Number	0031 299 36 33 73





3. Results of the assessment

The outcome of this assessment is as follows;

A responsible score will result in 1, an aspiring score in 0. Score weighting will be taken into consideration for each element.

That Paviljoen Smit-Bokkum BV has scored the following for Component 1: General Requirements and therefore **should** be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.

Component 1: General Requirements	Auditor's	Weighting	Score
	findings		
1.1 Commitment to Legality	Pass	1	1
1.2 Contribution to eel conservation projects	Pass	1	1
1.3 The facility trades in certified responsibly sourced eels	Pass	1	1
1.4 Traceability:			
1.4.1 Incoming products, separation and segregation	Pass	1	1
1.4.2 Outgoing products	Pass	1	1
1.4.3 Record keeping and documentation	Aspiring	1	0
1.5 Biosecurity & welfare – eel and eel products are	Pass	1	1
provided with minimal risk of diseases, parasites and			
alien species			
	Total	7	6
Percentage Responsib	ility Score:	86	5%

That Paviljoen Smit-Bokkum BV has scored the following for Component 4: Eel buying and trading and therefore **should** be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.

Component 4: Eel buying and trading	Auditor's	Weighting	Score
	findings		
4.1 The glass eel holding facility is a registered aquaculture	N/A	1	N/A
production business			
4.2 Mortality in storage facility	N/A	2	N/A
4.3 Mortality during transport and initial holding if	N/A	2	N/A
transported to farm			
4.4 Water quality	N/A	1	N/A
4.5 Handling and welfare	Pass	1	1
4.6 Transport		1	1
4.7 The required percentage of glass eels is being used for	N/A	2	N/A
restocking			
	Total	2	2
Percentage Responsibility Score: 100%		0%	





That Paviljoen Smit-Bokkum BV has scored the following for Component 7: Processing, wholesale and retail supplies, and therefore **should** be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.

Component 7: Processing, wholesale and retail supplies	Score
Comments: The company, a family business, was one of the first to gain the SEG	
Standard in 2012 and believes passionately in responsible and sustainable sourcing.	
Processing is quick and efficient and done on a small scale (100kg of smoked	
product per week). The chain is short from purchasing to selling and fully	
controlled and already 75-80% of sales are from a sustainable source.	

Summary of assessment and scoring

Component	Aspiring	Responsible
1	1	6
4	0	2
7	0	1
Total	1	9
Total Responsibility		90%
Score		

Recommendations:

The documentation is sufficient but the batch reconciliation needs to be tightened up so that the production outputs can be linked more easily to the sales from the shop and the restaurant.





4. Next Audit

At the completion of the audit the client was assessed against the risk assessment set out in the Methodology. This is set out in the table below.

Question	Performance of the Client at Audit	Yes	No
1	Has the client been part of any external investigation which may be of concern to SEG AND/OR been suspended from any other certification standard?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q2
2	Has the client received a borderline ¹ pass for a Component in its previous audit?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q3
3	Does the client only buy and sell product (does not physically handle it?)	Minimum Surveillance	Go to Q4
4	All other scenarios	Standard S	urveillance

	Certification Audit	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4 Recertification Audit
Minimum	On-Site Audit	Remote	Remote	Remote	On-Site Audit
Surveillance	On-Site Audit	Audit	Audit	Audit	On-Site Audit
Standard	On-Site Audit	No Audit	On-Site	No Audit	On-Site Audit
Surveillance	Oil-Site Audit	No Audit	Audit	No Audit	On-Site Audit
Enhanced	On Sita Audit	On-Site	On-Site	On-Site	On Sita Audit
Surveillance	On-Site Audit	Audit	Audit	Audit	On-Site Audit

As the client has been seen to fall into the Standard Surveillance bracket, the next audit will be due on the 21st January 2021 (in 2 years' time) and shall be an on-site audit.

Control Union Pesca Ltd

SEG Report Template v1.0 (9th January 2019)

¹ A borderline pass, under versions 1.0 to 5.0 of the standard, was considered a pass when one less amber indicator is received then would be required to fail (i.e. 5 green indicators and 4 amber indicators) or when a client is certified with equal number of amber and green indicators.





The tables below give the standard and a rationale for the scores given above. The score is highlighted in the appropriate colour.

Componen	t 1 – Generic requirements
Criterion 1.1:	Commitment to legality
Responsible indicators	For at least the past two years: the organisation has not been found guilty for any offences relating to eel fishing or trading.
Aspiring indicators	For at least the past 12 months: the organisation has not been found guilty for any offences relating to eel fishing or trading.
Discussion	There have never been any issues regarding illegal eel trading
Score	1 - Responsible
Criterion 1.2:	Contribution to Eel Conservation Projects. (Optional bonus score)
Responsible indicators	The organisation donates at least 2% of its profits or at least 20% of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects, conservation and education projects.
Aspiring indicators	The organisation donates 1 – 1.99% of its profits or 10 - 20% of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects, conservation and education projects.
Discussion	Smit-Bokkum is committed to being responsible – checks website and also it pays about 4% of its profits to the Eel Stewardship Funds through DUPAN (figures taken)
Score	1 - Responsible
Criterion 1.3:	The facility trades in certified responsibly sourced eel
Responsible indicators	The organisation trades in at least 50% (by number) of certified responsibly sourced eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.
Aspiring indicators	The facility trades in $10 - 49.9\%$ (by number) of certified responsibly sourced eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.
Discussion	Smit-Bokkum buy eels from two sources – Sustainable farmed eels which come from Fuikie Seafoods (arrive already slaughtered) and wild eels from the local waters. The latter however make up about 20% of the overall production and are only purchased in the summer months (May for four months). Full documentation for both sources of supplies was checked.
Score	1 – Responsible
Criterion 1.4:	Traceability
1.4.1: Tracea	bility - Incoming product, separation and segregation

Control Union Pesca Ltd

56 High Street, Lymington • Hampshire SO41 9AH • United Kingdom • +44 15 90613007 • infopesca@controlunion.com • cupesca.controlunion.com Registered in England and Wales No: 06509910 • VAT number: 166249195





Responsible indicators	 Certified and uncertified eel products can be clearly and easily traced back to their source. Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used It operates a clear system which ensures that the product remains separated at all stages from arrival to dispatch from non-certified eel products. The organisation ensures that any products wishing to make a claim as certified do not contain any non-certified eel-based ingredients. If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 2%
Aspiring indicators	 Certified and uncertified eel products can be traced back to their source. It operates a system which ensures that the product remains separated at all stages from arrival to despatch from non-certified eel products. The organisation ensures that any products wishing to make a claim as certified do not contain any non-certified eel-based ingredients
	• If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 5%
Discussion	When eels arrive at the premises they are given a unique batch number which links directly to the delivery note/invoice from the supplier. This Batch code follow the product through the holding tanks (8), electric stunning operation for wild eels, processing and packing and is finally shown on the labels on each pack. Note that only the farmed eels are skinned and filleted, the wild eels are always sold whole smoked. All products are only sold in the restaurant or shop. There is full segregation between wild and farmed eels.
Score	1 - Responsible
1.4.2: Traceak	pility - Outgoing product
Responsible indicators	 Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used Documentation is well maintained with a maximum of 2% error in the following: The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment All product to be sold as certified by an organisation is accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: Includes an appropriate batch code Includes a record of the quantity (no. & weight) of product and to whom it was sold
Aspiring indicators	 Documentation is well maintained with a maximum of 5% error in the following: The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment All products to be sold as certified by an organisation are accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: - Includes an appropriate batch code





Discussion	The traceability is excellent overall with each batch being identified clearly right up to final label on the packaging. This links up with the invoices through date and a record which shows which products are sold on which day from which batch. There is minimal margin for error and documentation is sufficient. Eels are given a 10 day shelf life.
Score	1 - Responsible
1.4.3: Tracea	bility - Record keeping and documentation
Responsible indicators	 The organisation operates a system that allows the tracking and tracing of all eel from purchase to sale and including any steps in between. In the case of live eels this should include the ability to track each batch delivered to a buyer to be connected back to a water, a time period (maximum duration one month) and specific fisherman/vessel If a fisherman or buyer, a tele-declaration system is used to report catches and trade The organisation operates a system that also allows for the completion of a batch reconciliation of eel product by weight over a given period. The organisation maintains records for a minimum of three (3) years.
Aspiring indicators	The above requirements are met except that: • Records have been maintained for less than three (3) years • If a fisherman or trader, a tele-declaration system is planned to be used to report catches and trade in the next season
Discussion	Full traceability in place with each stage of the process identified through batch code and whilst it is possible in theory to complete this for every batch in practice this does not happen through lack of time and staff / system availability. Staff know which batch comes from where bit this is not formally documented. This needs to be tightened up. Records are kept for 5 years +.
Score	0 - Aspiring
Criterion 1.5: parasites and	Biosecurity & welfare – Eel and eel products are provided with minimal risk of diseases, alien species
Eel Fishing: Bi	iosecurity measures are adopted
Responsible indicators	 The fishery conducts good biosecurity measures such as the disinfection and drying of nets and equipment between each fishing in different waters. OR: The fishermen only operate in the same river or estuary, with no risk of transferring diseases or alien species between catchments
Eel buying & t	rading: Biosecurity is present and disease is treated rapidly and appropriately
Responsible indicators	• The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the appropriate EU regulations and of the country concerned.

Control Union Pesca Ltd





	Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.
Aspiring indicators	 The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the appropriate EU regulations and of the country concerned. The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant authority An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed. Eels are regularly monitored for health and possible signs of stress (although this might not be documented) and daily mortality is recorded. Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.
Discussion	N/A for this operation
Score	N/A
Eel farming: B	iosecurity is present and disease is treated rapidly and appropriately
Responsible indicators	 The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant authority. The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the EU and of the country concerned An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed. Daily records are available showing monitoring of fish health and signs of stress and daily mortality is recorded Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility UV is used at an appropriate level and separation between tanks
Aspiring indicators	 The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant licensing authority The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the EU and of the country concerned. An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed. Eels are regularly inspected for disease (although this may not be documented) and daily mortality is recorded. Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.
Discussion	N/A as not a farm
Score	N/A
Restocking: The and is minimal	ne risk of restocked eels introducing disease into wild populations has been assessed
Responsible indicators	Eels are tested before restocking and found to be free of disease AND/OR eels are from a known source which is tested on at least an annual basis and known to be free of disease.





Aspiring indicators	Eels are tested before restocking when first sourced from a new area, and periodically (at least annually) thereafter to ensure they are free from disease.
Discussion	N/A as not a farm
Score	N/A
Wholesale / R	etail / Processing: Hygiene Plans are followed and there are rare examples of infection
Responsible indicators	Food processing hygiene plans are followed
Discussion	There is a fully approved and verified HACCP plan in place with full hygiene plans and there have never been any issues with infection amongst the eels and listeria on the products. Note that the production is only about 100kgs of smoked eels per week. The place is very clean and well laid out.
Score	1 - Responsible

Component 4 - Eel buying and trading			
Criterion 4.1:	The Glass eel holding facility is a registered Aquaculture Production Business		
Weighting: 1			
Responsible indicators	The Glass eel holding facility is a registered Aquaculture Production Business		
Aspiring indicators	The facility is not a registered Aquaculture Production Business, but has credible plans to register within the next 6 months		
Discussion	N/A as not a farm		
Score	N/A		
Criterion 4.2:	Criterion 4.2: Mortality in storage facility		
Weighting: 2			
Responsible indicators	Mortality rate over the season is less than 2% on average.		
Aspiring indicators	Mortality rate over the season is less than or equal to 5% on average but greater than or equal to 2%		
Discussion	N/A as no holding tanks		
Score	N/A		
Criterion 4.3: Mortality during transport and initial holding if transported to farm			
Weighting: 2			
Responsible indicators	 Buyers source at least 90% of their eels from certified suppliers OR Mortality during transport and for the first week at the farm is less than 2% on average 		

Control Union Pesca Ltd





Aspiring indicators	 Buyers source 50% - 89.9% of their eels from certified suppliers OR Mortality during transport and for the first week at the farm is less than or equal to 3% on average but greater than or equal to 2% on average.
Discussion	Farmed product delivered dead so not applicable
Score	N/A
Criterion 4.4:	Water quality
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	 A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances for healthy eel survival (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, Oxygen) Water quality management procedures are in place including regular monitoring of relevant parameters which shows that water quality is always high and stable The facility operates a back-up system to ensure that water quality will not adversely affect survival rates in the case of an equipment failure
Aspiring indicators	 A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances for healthy eel survival (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, Oxygen) The facility has a minimum of a back-up generator and oxygen supply
Discussion	Part of local authority checks – not an issue as water only used as a processing aid
Score	N/A
Criterion 4.5:	Handling and welfare
Weighting: 1	
Responsible indicators	 Systems are in place and the facility is designed to keep handling to an absolute minimum Documented procedures are in place for handling, and handling, where necessary, is careful The infrastructure is designed to avoid injuries, and so that the use of nets is rarely necessary. When used, nets are small-mesh (1mm maximum) Eels are moved without being allowed to dry out.
Aspiring indicators	 The facility may not be optimally designed, but systems are in place to avoid handling as much as possible within the constraints of the facility Handling, where necessary, is carefully planned and executed The infrastructure has been optimised as far as possible to avoid injuries Nets are small-mesh (1mm maximum) Eels are moved without being allowed to dry out.
Discussion	Facility though built in the 1850s still works today. There are documented procedures in place as part of the simple HACCP plan. Eels are processed quickly and go straight to the restaurant or shop

Control Union Pesca Ltd

56 High Street, Lymington • Hampshire SO41 9AH • United Kingdom • +44 15 90613007 • infopesca@controlunion.com • cupesca.controlunion.com Registered in England and Wales No: 06509910 • VAT number: 166249195





Score	1 - Responsible		
Criterion 4.6:	Criterion 4.6: Transport		
Weighting: 1			
Responsible indicators	 There is a Transport Plan in place to minimise travel time – this meets the Transport requirements for vertebrates Packing is done in a way that minimises handling, time and stress Eels are kept cool and wet with an adequate supply of oxygen The operator holds the relevant transport authorisations 		
Discussion	There is a small van in use to collect dead eels from Fuikie Seafood and live wild eels from the local fishermen. This is all within a few minutes and any eels are transported in appropriate containers.		
Score	1 - responsible		
Criterion 4.7:	Criterion 4.7: The required percentage of glass eels is being used for restocking		
Weighting: 2			
Responsible indicators	 The buyer can provide documented evidence that they have sold at least 60% for restocking the required target percentage of its glass eels from the last season for the primary purpose of conservation / escapement. The eels for restocking are representative of the stock – slow growers are not selected 		
Aspiring indicators	 The buyer can provide documented evidence that they have reserved or made available at least 60% of the required target percentage of its glass eels from the latest season available for the primary purpose of conservation / escapement, OR The buyer can provide documented evidence that it has made available glass eels to the maximum level possible within the constraints of the implementation of the EMP in that country OR The buyer can provide credible evidence that re-stocking will occur in the forthcoming season. The eels for restocking are representative of the stock – slow growers are not selected 		
Discussion	N/A as not a farm		
Score	N/A		





Component 7 – Processing, wholesale and retail supplies		
lssues Notes	This component describes the sometimes short, sometimes long chain from the eel leaving the fishery or fish farm, processed for human consumption (e.g. filleted, smoked), distributed to retailers and then sold to the consumer (e.g. the public, restaurants). In some cases, a number of processes might be carried out by the same business, e.g. some family businesses in Holland have their own eel farm, their own smoker and sell direct to the public. There are no separate criteria for processors, wholesalers and retailers, but the component is provided here to show how they are included in the supply chain. The most obvious and important component applying to these is Component 1.1, covering Commitment to legality, 1.3: Trading in certified eel and 1.4: Traceability.	
	Where the facility undertakes other processes in this standard, e.g. perhaps eel farming, the business and assessor should decide the relevant parts to audit.	
Benefits	Consumers have the opportunity and choice to purchase responsibly sourced eel	
Targets & Measures	 An increasing number and proportion of processors, wholesalers and retailers provide certified eel, from 5% now to 90% in 10 years An increasing proportion of total retail sales is of certified eel, from 5% now to 75% in 10 years 	
Discussion	The company was one of the first to gain the SEG Standard in 2012 and believes passionately in responsible and sustainable sourcing. Processing is quick and efficient and done on a small scale (100kg of smoked product per week). The chain is short from purchasing to selling and fully controlled and already 75-80% of sales are from a sustainable source.	
Score	1 - Responsible	