



## Eel Assessment – Vishandel Klooster B.V.

# **Assessment against:**

Component 1: Core requirements
Component 4: Eel buying and trading
Component 7: Processing, wholesale and retail supplies

**Completed by** Richard Wailes

23<sup>rd</sup>January 2019

# FINAL REPORT

#### Introduction

This document represents the report completed following the 2019 audit carried out under the Sustainable Eel Standard (Version 6.0, June 2018) against Vishandel Klooster BV. This assessment has been completed against Components 1, 4 & 7 of the Standard only.

The assessment is of a Processor / Retailer located in Enkhuizen, Netherlands.

#### 1. The assessment

The assessor was Richard Wailes of Control Union Pesca Ltd, who visited Vishandel Klooster BV in their new factory on the 23<sup>rdt</sup> January 2019. The audit included interviews with Jac Tijsen (GM) and Jasper de Haan (QM).

#### 2. Client Contact Details

<b>Client Contact Name</b>	Jac Tijsen
Client Address	Trompet 4, 1601 MK, Enkhuizen, Netherlands
Client Email	jtijsen@vishandelklooster.nl
<b>Client Phone Number</b>	0031 228 312769





#### 3. Results of the assessment

The outcome of this assessment is as follows;

A responsible score will result in 1, an aspiring score in 0. Score weighting will be taken into consideration for each element.

That Vishandel Klooster BV has scored the following for Component 1: General Requirements and therefore **should** be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.

Component 1: General Requirements	Auditor's	Weighting	Score
	findings		
1.1 Commitment to Legality	Pass	1	1
1.2 Contribution to eel conservation projects	Pass	1	1
1.3 The facility trades in certified responsibly sourced eels	Pass	1	1
1.4 Traceability:			
1.4.1 Incoming products, separation and segregation	Pass	1	1
1.4.2 Outgoing products	Pass	1	1
1.4.3 Record keeping and documentation	Pass	1	1
1.5 Biosecurity & welfare – eel and eel products are	Pass	1	1
provided with minimal risk of diseases, parasites and			
alien species			
Total		7	7
Percentage Responsib	ility Score:	10	0%

That Vishandel Klooster BV has scored the following for Component 4: Eel buying and trading and therefore **should** be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.

Component 4: Eel buying and trading	Auditor's	Weighting	Score
	findings		
4.1 The glass eel holding facility is a registered aquaculture	N/A	1	N/A
production business			
4.2 Mortality in storage facility	Pass	2	2
4.3 Mortality during transport and initial holding if		2	2
transported to farm			
4.4 Water quality	Pass	1	1
4.5 Handling and welfare	Pass	1	1
4.6 Transport	Pass	1	1
4.7 The required percentage of glass eels is being used for	N/A	2	N/A
restocking			
	Total	10	7/10
Percentage Responsib	ility Score:	7(	)%





That Vishandel Klooster BV has scored the following for Component 7: Processing, wholesale and retail supplies, and therefore **should** be considered **RESPONSIBLE** under the SEG standard.

Component 7: Processing, wholesale and retail supplies	Score
Comments: Main processing facility built in the last four years has been designed	Pass - 1
to minimize handling with a quick and efficient product flow (intake, storage,	
stunning, brining, gutting, smoking, chilling and packing). There are documented	
procedures in place as part of the HACCP and Quality Manual. Eels are moved	
quickly and killed humanely with electricity – speed does not compromise quality.	
Note that the original facility (800m away) is still used to store and kill the eels	
prior to being moved in sealed containers to the new operation	

### Summary of assessment and scoring

Component	Aspiring	Responsible
1		7
4		7
7		1
Total		15
Total Responsibility Score		100%

### **Recommendations:**

It was noted that the mortalities are not specifically recorded in the records (they are included in total waste). In future they should be recorded even if the quantity is very small (4.2)





#### 4. Next Audit

At the completion of the audit the client was assessed against the risk assessment set out in the Methodology. This is set out in the table below.

Question	Performance of the Client at Audit	Yes	No
1	Has the client been part of any external investigation which may be of concern to SEG AND/OR been suspended from any other certification standard?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q2
2	Has the client received a borderline <sup>1</sup> pass for a Component in its previous audit?	Enhanced Surveillance	Go to Q3
3	Does the client only buy and sell product (does not physically handle it?)	Minimum Surveillance	Go to Q4
4	All other scenarios	Standard S	urveillance

	Certification Audit	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4 Recertification Audit
Minimum	On-Site Audit	Remote	Remote	Remote	On-Site Audit
Surveillance	On-Site Audit	Audit	Audit	Audit	On-Site Audit
Standard	On-Site Audit	No Audit	On-Site	No Audit	On-Site Audit
Surveillance	Oil-Site Audit	No Audit	Audit	No Audit	On-Site Audit
Enhanced	On Sita Audit	On-Site	On-Site	On-Site	On Sita Audit
Surveillance	On-Site Audit	Audit	Audit	Audit	On-Site Audit

As the client has been seen to fall into the Standard Surveillance bracket, the next audit will be due on the  $23^{rd}$  January 2021 (in 2 years' time) and shall be an on-site audit.

Control Union Pesca Ltd

56 High Street, Lymington • Hampshire SO41 9AH • United Kingdom • +44 15 90613007 • infopesca@controlunion.com • cupesca.controlunion.com Registered in England and Wales No: 06509910 • VAT number: 166249195

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A borderline pass, under versions 1.0 to 5.0 of the standard, was considered a pass when one less amber indicator is received then would be required to fail (i.e. 5 green indicators and 4 amber indicators) or when a client is certified with equal number of amber and green indicators.





The tables below give the standard and a rationale for the scores given above. The score is highlighted in the appropriate colour.

Componen	t 1 – Generic requirements
Criterion 1.1:	Commitment to legality
Responsible indicators	For at least the past two years: the organisation has not been found guilty for any offence relating to eel fishing or trading.
Aspiring indicators	For at least the past 12 months: the organisation has not been found guilty for any offence relating to eel fishing or trading.
Discussion	There have been no issues regarding eel trading in the last few years. They have had a listeria issue (20kg of eels involved) but this was not related to eel legality.
Score	1 - Responsible
Criterion 1.2:	Contribution to Eel Conservation Projects. (Optional bonus score)
Responsible indicators	The organisation donates at least 2% of its profits or at least 20% of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects conservation and education projects.
Aspiring indicators	The organisation donates 1 – 1.99% of its profits or 10 - 20% of its corporate responsibility programme to projects that make a positive contribution to eel conservation or population enhancement, such as Eel Stewardship Funds, River Restoration projects conservation and education projects.
Discussion	Records were taken of the last 18 months contribution to the ESF and these confirmed that the percentage was between 2.5-2.8%
Score	1 - Responsible
Criterion 1.3:	The facility trades in certified responsibly sourced eel
Responsible indicators	The organisation trades in at least 50% (by number) of certified responsibly sourced eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.
Aspiring indicators	The facility trades in $10 - 49.9\%$ (by number) of certified responsibly sourced eel and has the documentation to demonstrate that.
Discussion	The majority of the eels sourced by Klooster come from farms which are either in the SEG certification process or who are certified. Note that Nyvis (the main supplier) own Klooster. A full list was taken and it was noted that overall less than 10% were from non SEG sources (wild or from Van Wijk who does not subscribe to the Sustainable Eel objectives)
Score	1 - Responsible
Criterion 1.4:	Traceability
1.4.1: Traceal	bility - Incoming product, separation and segregation





Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Certified and uncertified eel products can be clearly and easily traced back to their source.</li> <li>Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used</li> <li>It operates a clear system which ensures that the product remains separated at all stages from arrival to dispatch from non-certified eel products.</li> <li>The organisation ensures that any products wishing to make a claim as certified do not contain any non-certified eel-based ingredients.</li> <li>If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 2%</li> </ul>
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>Certified and uncertified eel products can be traced back to their source.</li> <li>It operates a system which ensures that the product remains separated at all stages from arrival to despatch from non-certified eel products.</li> <li>The organisation ensures that any products wishing to make a claim as certified do not contain any non-certified eel-based ingredients</li> </ul>
	• If resolved through mass- or number- balance calculations, the margin of error does not exceed 5%
Discussion	Klooster run a sophisticated ERP/Navision based system in which each intake is clearly identified through a unique lot code (generated by the system) and this identifies the source of supply with an individual supplier and condition (live/dead/frozen) code. There are therefore no issues of non-certified eels being mixed upon input with certified eels
Score	1 - Responsible
1.4.2: Traceat	pility - Outgoing product
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Where a fishery or buyer, an electronic tele-declaration system is used</li> <li>Documentation is well maintained with a maximum of 2% error in the following:</li> <li>The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment</li> <li>All product to be sold as certified by an organisation is accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: <ul> <li>Includes an appropriate batch code</li> <li>Includes a record of the quantity (no. &amp; weight) of product and to whom it was sold</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>Documentation is well maintained with a maximum of 5% error in the following:</li> <li>The organisation correctly uses batch-coding for labelling certified product, which can be on the packaging for the product, or included in the documentation (e.g. invoice) with the assignment</li> <li>All products to be sold as certified by an organisation are accompanied by an invoice which meets the following criteria: - Includes an appropriate batch code</li> <li>Includes a record of the quantity (no. &amp; weight) of product and to whom it was sold</li> </ul>
Discussion	Full and comprehensive documentation throughout the process from intake to despatch with linking Navision generated lot codes which are shown on the final label/packaging. This has already been approved and checked as part of the MSC/ASC & IFS audits. Full quantities per batch are recorded – copies taken.





Score	1 - Responsible
1.4.3: Tracea	bility - Record keeping and documentation
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The organisation operates a system that allows the tracking and tracing of all eel from purchase to sale and including any steps in between. In the case of live eels this should include the ability to track each batch delivered to a buyer to be connected back to a water, a time period (maximum duration one month) and specific fisherman/vessel</li> <li>If a fisherman or buyer, a tele-declaration system is used to report catches and trade</li> <li>The organisation operates a system that also allows for the completion of a batch reconciliation of eel product by weight over a given period.</li> <li>The organisation maintains records for a minimum of three (3) years.</li> </ul>
Aspiring indicators	The above requirements are met except that:  • Records have been maintained for less than three (3) years  • If a fisherman or trader, a tele-declaration system is planned to be used to report catches and trade in the next season
Discussion	Records are maintained for 5 years (3 years minimum) and effectively indefinitely electronically. Each batch/lot can be mass balanced and reconciled. The Navision system makes this straightforward.
Score	1 - Responsible
Criterion 1.5: parasites and	Biosecurity & welfare – Eel and eel products are provided with minimal risk of diseases, alien species
Eel Fishing: Bi	osecurity measures are adopted
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The fishery conducts good biosecurity measures such as the disinfection and drying of nets and equipment between each fishing in different waters. OR:</li> <li>The fishermen only operate in the same river or estuary, with no risk of transferring diseases or alien species between catchments</li> </ul>
Eel buying & t	rading: Biosecurity is present and disease is treated rapidly and appropriately
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the appropriate EU regulations and of the country concerned.</li> <li>The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant licensing authority</li> <li>An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed.</li> <li>Records are available showing regular monitoring of health and possible signs of stress according to the facility's plan (including the completion of microscope parasite checks) and daily mortality is recorded.</li> <li>Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.</li> </ul>





Aspiring indicators  Discussion	<ul> <li>The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the appropriate EU regulations and of the country concerned.</li> <li>The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant authority</li> <li>An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed.</li> <li>Eels are regularly monitored for health and possible signs of stress (although this might not be documented) and daily mortality is recorded.</li> <li>Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.</li> <li>No chemicals are used on the live eels as the eels are kept for a maximum of 3 days in the holding tanks prior to slaughter. Chemicals (buffered vinegar) are used in the</li> </ul>	
	processing and these are approved as a listeria reducing aid. Eels in the tanks are monitored and there are minimal mortalities	
Score	1 - Responsible	
Eel farming: B	siosecurity is present and disease is treated rapidly and appropriately	
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant authority.</li> <li>The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the EU and of the country concerned</li> <li>An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed.</li> <li>Daily records are available showing monitoring of fish health and signs of stress and daily mortality is recorded</li> <li>Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility</li> <li>UV is used at an appropriate level and separation between tanks</li> </ul>	
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>The facility has the appropriate permissions to operate from the relevant licensing authority</li> <li>The use of chemicals follows legal requirements of the EU and of the country concerned.</li> <li>An effective and documented biosecurity plan is in place and there is evidence that it is being followed.</li> <li>Eels are regularly inspected for disease (although this may not be documented) and daily mortality is recorded.</li> <li>Records are maintained according to the Medicines Regulations for use of any medicines and/or chemicals used in the facility.</li> </ul>	
Discussion	The company has a full biosecurity plan in place (IFS approved). This has been also approved by the Dutch authorities and was demonstrated during the visit	
Score	1 - Responsible	
_	Restocking: The risk of restocked eels introducing disease into wild populations has been assessed and is minimal	
Responsible indicators	Eels are tested before restocking and found to be free of disease AND/OR eels are from a known source which is tested on at least an annual basis and known to be free of disease.	
Aspiring indicators	Eels are tested before restocking when first sourced from a new area, and periodically (at least annually) thereafter to ensure they are free from disease.	

Control Union Pesca Ltd

56 High Street, Lymington • Hampshire SO41 9AH • United Kingdom • +44 15 90613007 • infopesca@controlunion.com • cupesca.controlunion.com Registered in England and Wales No: 06509910 • VAT number: 166249195





Discussion	N/A as not a farm
Score	N/A
Wholesale / R	etail / Processing: Hygiene Plans are followed and there are rare examples of infection
Responsible indicators	Food processing hygiene plans are followed
Discussion	There is a full HACCP in place with each section linked to SOPS. Hygiene plans are strictly adhered to with the emphasis on listeria eradication. There have been no example of eel infection but there has been one case of 20kgs of eel fillets being listeria affected and subject of a recall.
Score	1 - Responsible

Component 4 - Eel buying and trading			
Criterion 4.1:	The Glass eel holding facility is a registered Aquaculture Production Business		
Weighting: 1			
Responsible indicators	The Glass eel holding facility is a registered Aquaculture Production Business		
Aspiring indicators	The facility is not a registered Aquaculture Production Business, but has credible plans to register within the next 6 months		
Discussion	N/A as not a farm		
Score	N/A		
Criterion 4.2:	Mortality in storage facility		
Weighting: 2			
Responsible indicators	Mortality rate over the season is less than 2% on average.		
Aspiring indicators	Mortality rate over the season is less than or equal to 5% on average but greater than or equal to 2%		
Discussion	Mortality is minimal (less than 0.1%) in the 8 storage tanks as the eels only stay there for a maximum of 3 days. In the summer heat may affect mortality		
Score	2 - Responsible		
Criterion 4.3:	Criterion 4.3: Mortality during transport and initial holding if transported to farm		
Weighting: 2			
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Buyers source at least 90% of their eels from certified suppliers OR</li> <li>Mortality during transport and for the first week at the farm is less than 2% on average</li> </ul>		
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>Buyers source 50% - 89.9% of their eels from certified suppliers OR</li> <li>Mortality during transport and for the first week at the farm is less than or equal to 3% on average but greater than or equal to 2% on average.</li> </ul>		

## Control Union Pesca Ltd





Discussion	At least 90% of the eels are from certified (or soon to be certified) sources and there is negligible mortality in the transport (Klooster's own) and in the holding tanks (8). This is documented		
Score	2 - Responsible		
Criterion 4.4:	Water quality		
Weighting: 1			
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances for healthy eel survival (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, Oxygen)</li> <li>Water quality management procedures are in place including regular monitoring of relevant parameters which shows that water quality is always high and stable</li> <li>The facility operates a back-up system to ensure that water quality will not adversely affect survival rates in the case of an equipment failure</li> </ul>		
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>A system is in place that is expected to keep key water quality parameters within suitable tolerances for healthy eel survival (e.g. Ammonia, Suspended Solids, pH, Oxygen)</li> <li>The facility has a minimum of a back-up generator and oxygen supply</li> </ul>		
Discussion	Water from the lake (Ijselmeer) is pumped through the storage tanks and directly back to the lake. This is under licenced approval from the authorities and the quality is monitored by them. In case of electrical failure there is a generator in place to allow the pumping and aerating to continue.		
Score	1 - Responsible		
Criterion 4.5:	Handling and welfare		
Weighting: 1	Weighting: 1		
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>Systems are in place and the facility is designed to keep handling to an absolute minimum</li> <li>Documented procedures are in place for handling, and handling, where necessary, is careful</li> <li>The infrastructure is designed to avoid injuries, and so that the use of nets is rarely necessary. When used, nets are small-mesh (1mm maximum)</li> <li>Eels are moved without being allowed to dry out.</li> </ul>		
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>The facility may not be optimally designed, but systems are in place to avoid handling as much as possible within the constraints of the facility</li> <li>Handling, where necessary, is carefully planned and executed</li> <li>The infrastructure has been optimised as far as possible to avoid injuries</li> <li>Nets are small-mesh (1mm maximum)</li> <li>Eels are moved without being allowed to dry out.</li> </ul>		
Discussion	Main processing facility built in the last four years has been designed to minimize handling with a quick and efficient product flow (intake, storage, stunning, brining, gutting, smoking, chilling and packing). There are documented procedures in place as part of the HACCP and Quality Manual. Eels are moved quickly and killed humanely with electricity – speed does not compromise quality. Note that the original facility		

#### Control Union Pesca Ltd





	(800m away) is still used to store and kill the eels prior to being moved in sealed		
	containers to the new operation		
Score	1 - Responsible		
Criterion 4.6:	Criterion 4.6: Transport		
Weighting: 1			
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>There is a Transport Plan in place to minimise travel time – this meets the Transport requirements for vertebrates</li> <li>Packing is done in a way that minimises handling, time and stress</li> <li>Eels are kept cool and wet with an adequate supply of oxygen</li> <li>The operator holds the relevant transport authorisations</li> </ul>		
Discussion	The Company has its own truck (new) to collect the eels with the maximum distance with the current farms of 4 hours. The truck is designed for eel transport with aerated water in each compartment and is approved by the Authorities.		
Score	1 - responsible		
Criterion 4.7:	The required percentage of glass eels is being used for restocking		
Weighting: 2			
Responsible indicators	<ul> <li>The buyer can provide documented evidence that they have sold at least 60% for restocking the required target percentage of its glass eels from the last season for the primary purpose of conservation / escapement.</li> <li>The eels for restocking are representative of the stock – slow growers are not selected</li> </ul>		
Aspiring indicators	<ul> <li>The buyer can provide documented evidence that they have reserved or made available at least 60% of the required target percentage of its glass eels from the latest season available for the primary purpose of conservation / escapement, OR</li> <li>The buyer can provide documented evidence that it has made available glass eels to the maximum level possible within the constraints of the implementation of the EMP in that country OR</li> <li>The buyer can provide credible evidence that re-stocking will occur in the forthcoming season.</li> <li>The eels for restocking are representative of the stock – slow growers are not selected</li> </ul>		
Discussion	N/A as not a farm		
	N/A		





Component 7 – Processing, wholesale and retail supplies		
Issues	This component describes the sometimes short, sometimes long chain from the eel leaving the fishery or fish farm, processed for human consumption (e.g. filleted, smoked), distributed to retailers and then sold to the consumer (e.g. the public, restaurants).  In some cases, a number of processes might be carried out by the same business, e.g.	
	some family businesses in Holland have their own eel farm, their own smoker and sell direct to the public.	
Notes	There are no separate criteria for processors, wholesalers and retailers, but the component is provided here to show how they are included in the supply chain.	
	The most obvious and important component applying to these is Component 1.1, covering Commitment to legality, 1.3: Trading in certified eel and 1.4: Traceability.	
	Where the facility undertakes other processes in this standard, e.g. perhaps eel farming, the business and assessor should decide the relevant parts to audit.	
Benefits	Consumers have the opportunity and choice to purchase responsibly sourced eel	
Targets & Measures	• An increasing number and proportion of processors, wholesalers and retailers provide certified eel, from 5% now to 90% in 10 years	
	• An increasing proportion of total retail sales is of certified eel, from 5% now to 75% in 10 years	
Discussion	The company was one of the earliest to be certified against the SEG Standard and believes passionately in the responsible sourcing and processing requirements. From intake, care of the eels in tanks, humane slaughtering (electric) and processing (up to 1000kgs per day), efficient brining, up to date smoking and to finally the latest in packing techniques the operation is slick. Currently the ESF logo is shown on the packaging (MAP, VP) as the company is waiting for its suppliers to be certified before it can claim SEG status. Currently sales of potentially certified eels are at 90% and this is expected to grow following accreditation.	
Score	1 - Responsible	