

#### Environmental DNA (eDNA) as a survey tool for *Anguilla anguilla*

**Dr Stephanie Sargeant** 

Stephanie.sargeant@uwe.ac.uk

Laura Weldon, Dr Mark Steer, Dr Heather Macdonald, Dr Lyn Newton,



# What is eDNA?

#### Nuclear or mitochondrial DNA released from an organism into their environment

- Captured from environmental substrates e.g. water, soil, invertebrates, air or vegetation,
- Can include secreted faeces, mucus, gametes, shed skin, hair, scales and carcasses







# What is eDNA?

- Taken off over the last 5/10 yrs
- Cost of molecular methods falling over last 20 yrs (Nature, 2014)
- Quick, low cost, non-invasive survey method



Figure - schematic advantage of the higher detection probability with the eDNA method compared to traditional methods (drawn after Darling & Mahon, 2011) **Great crested newts** Natural England approved 2013

Detection efficiency:	
eDNA	99%
Bottle trapping	76%
Torch surveys	74%
Egg searches	44%

Biggs et al., 2014





# What's the potential?

Presence/absence

Distribution, range

Habitat preferences

Barriers to migration

Quantification, relative population abundance

Sex, life stage...





# eDNA approaches



### How does it work?





### How does it work?











#### Target amplification

Total DNA extracted

Quantitative PCR

Species specific primers & hydrolisis probe

Mitochondrial genome

Targets cytochrome *b* gene

80-150bp

#### Organism Presence / absence







# eDNA advantages

- Non-invasive
- Time & cost effective
- Simple to collect (citizen science opportunities)
- Any time of the day
- Sample from remote, hard to reach areas
- Higher detection probabilities when compared to traditional methods – illusive or rare species, IAS, diseases
- Increased taxonomic resolution
- High sensitivity





# eDNA limitations

- High sensitivity contamination
- False negatives
- Sample storage
- Quantification
- Sex, life stages, population structure
- Detection probabilities differ with species and habitat type



## **Research overview:**

Lab development & optimisation

Aquarium testing & optimisation

Initial field trials

Field sampling & method validation
1) Irish Loughs
2) Somerset levels
3) Corfu, Greece



Bristo









Aims:

- Can *A. anguilla* be detected in lakes?
- How does this method compare to fyke netting?
- Does eDNA recovery reflect eel populations suggested by the high, medium and low fyke net data.







- Total of 83 water samples
- qPCR 6 replicates/sample



eDNA method captures and identifies A. anguilla DNA







eDNA recovery reflects relative population numbers





No significant difference in eDNA recovery from shore vs. mid-lake samples



#### Somerset

- Avalon Marshes, Somerset
- 3 freshwater ponds: Westhay Moor Westhay Heath Catcott

Aims:

- Explore method function in smaller water bodies
- Fine scale habitat mapping
- Depth sampling









#### Somerset



Westhay Moor



Westhay Heath



Outcome of 6 qPCR replicates:

Negative Positive

#### Catcott

Preliminary findings: indicate habitat use and depth variation – more work to be done!



## Summary



Effective non-invasive method for detection of *A. anguilla* from water samples

#### eDNA recovery reflects known relative populations

High sensitivity

Works well independently or in combination with traditional methods



# eDNA: future potential

Lots of potential!

- Pre-survey tool
- Inform future monitoring plans
- Potential to be used for citizen science projects
- Can be used to answer more ecological questions

e.g. habitat use, barriers to migration

- Other species
- Particularly useful for rare, elusive, invasive species or pathogens



Professor Katsumi Tsukamoto, Nihon University, Japan





# Thank you for listening



stephanie.sargeant@uwe.ac.uk



Acknowledgements

Laura Weldon, Dr Mark Steer, Dr Heather Macdonald, Dr Lyn Newton



Iascach Intíre Éireann Inland Fisheries Ireland











