## The Sustainable Eel Group

## Notes of the meeting 9<sup>th</sup> July 2010, 11am-3pm, Fishmongers Hall, London.

Present:	Andrew Kerr Brian Knights Heidi Stone Miran Aprahamian Matthew Gollock Tom Fort Vin Fleming Julian Jones Ian Johnson Alan Walker Jo Gascoigne Paul Clark Chris Leftwich Vicky Offord	(AK) (BK) (HS) (MA) (MG) (TF) (VF) (JJ) (JJ) (AW) (JG) (PC) (CL) (VO)	Independent (Management Consultant) (Chair) Independent (Fisheries Scientist) Environment Agency Environment Agency Eel Conservation Group / Zoological Society London Author Joint Nature Conservation Committee Radnor Wildlife Trust Environment Agency Cefas Independent Fisheries Scientist Natural History Museum Fishmongers Company UK Glass Eels
Apologies:	David Bunt Paul Knight Peter Wood Keith Wilson Robert Rosell Maldwin Drummond Mark Salt Christopher Moriarty Peter Neusinger Derek Evans Alistair Maltby William Swinkles Alison Debney	(DB) (PK) (PW) (KW) (RR) (MD) (MS) (CM) (PN) (DE) (AM) (WS) (AD)	Institute of Fisheries Management Salmon and Trout Association UK Glass Eels London Port Authority Agri-Food & Biosciences Institute, Northern Ireland Fishmongers Company National Anguilla Club Retired Fisheries Manager, Republic of Ireland Glass Eel Buyer Agri-Food & Biosciences Institute, Northern Ireland Association of Rivers Trusts Nivjis, Dutch Eel Farmer Zoological Society London

Minutes Action

1.	Welcome, introductions & apologies		
•	Andrew Kerr welcomed the group, including several new members- he stated that real		
	progress was being made on both the habitat improvement theme and the		
	development of a sustainable standard for aquaculture		
•	Apologies received are listed above.		
2.	Minutes of last meeting		
•	Minutes from last meeting accepted by BK and seconded by MG.		
3.	Matters Arising from last minutes		
•	VF went through CITES action including stating that they have recreated the Eel		
	Working group with two UK reps, himself and AW. The first meeting was set for Aug		
•	AW stated that there is a data base of eel catches being compiled for EU countries		
4.	Reports from Sub Groups		
4.1	Governance		
•	The meeting welcomed and reviewed the draft paper and comments and		
	improvements were sort. The idea is that the management of SEG would be delegated		
	through an elected board of 12. Members would vote for the Directors at an AGM		
•	An additional Voting Conservation Post was recommended to join the Board within		
	the 12 Directors	VF	

Agreed that there needs to be a formal review of the governance every three years	
<ul> <li>Agreed that there needs to be a formal review of the governance every three years.</li> <li>Agreed that the Representative from a Govt Department or Agency would enjoy a</li> </ul>	AK
non-voting status. VF to work on the wording for observer status	
All members would be welcome to join the four quarterly meetings	
It was agreed there would continue to be four SEG meetings a year	
The document will be worked on and brought to the next meeting for signing off and	vo
that elections including nominations would take place at the proposed AGM	
(December / Jan)	PK
4.2 Resources	
Financial statements are now online	VO
• EA money for SEG is now to be spent directly on the Habitat Improvement Strategy; s	о
far a total of £12.4k has been invoiced.	
• New signatories to be set up on bank account, DB, PW, VO. AK can sign up to £100.	TF/AK
SEG needs to work out what each group wants in regard to funding and see if the EFF	
is appropriate to fund and the EA may be able to use its funding to match.	
4.3 Position Statements	
• The 3 <sup>rd</sup> draft wording of the 'is it sustainable to eat eel' statement was gone through	
and further refinements made	BK / MG
Baby Eels is to be changed to Juvenile eels.	
Words on website to be hyperlinked to definitions	
A basic webpage for the glass eel life cycle to be made.  This thinking about what to write in an article about the Folding the food shair. All to	NAA /AK
TF is thinking about what to write in an article about the Eels in the food chain AK to got it in the proces.	MA/AK
<ul> <li>get it in the press.</li> <li>The EA is looking into calcium levels in Eels in southern chalk areas which means you</li> </ul>	
con assess how long they spending fresh and salt water - Members to look out for	
results	ALL
4.4 EMP Delivery	766
<ul> <li>IJ and MA will lead the EA's SEG presence in the future. IJ expressed the importance of</li> </ul>	ıf
the eels recovery to EA plans and stated his commitment to support SEG's objectives.	
<ul> <li>The joint project between the EA and Rivers trust was warmly supported. The outline</li> </ul>	
proposal was endorsed with a request for more information on the how it was going	
to be worked up. The outcome of a plan of action will enable the best use of	AM
resources. It was noted that SEG could need 10% matched funding for land fill tax.	
<ul> <li>Meeting with Dr Polly to be set up with AK, MA and HS. BK, MG and AW to be kept</li> </ul>	
aware.	AK
4.5 Communication Plan	
This theme remains a weakness and SEG needs to develop an approach. Any ideas	
please contact AK	
5. Update from the Hamburg meeting	
MG outlined the meeting in Hamburg and stated it was very promising. The	
commercial representatives of all six countries were committed to conserving the ee	
MG briefly summarised the reported actions in each country and AK will follow up the property of the prop	e AK
Dutch PR programme- by forwarding the full presentation from Alex Koelwin.	
Keeping SEG informed of activities across Europe was seen a-s important	

6. S	ustainable Eel Standard	
•	AK to send out JG's report to SEG members when received early next week.  JG explained her experiences to date on related work most notably with the MSC std  JG posed questions to the Group – these findings will be in the report. The view emerged that the SEG standard should initially concentrate on glass eel fishery.  Aquaculture may need to be taken separately later.  SEG needs to engage with conservation groups and others during a 60 day	AK JJ/AK
•	consultation period for the standard. A list of these people must be put together and an approach to LINK may facilitate the process of consultation.	JJ/AK
7. U	pdate from meeting with ICUN	
•	Following the advice from VF on how to approach IUCN PW and BK had met the Chief Executive as independent persons and not in the name of SEG. They raised issues on the need for multiple opinions on the science and explored the issues of transparency and governance in the development of a red listing. The meeting was very positive and constructive and they are waiting for a response. SEG asked to be kept briefed.	PW / BK
8. 7	hames Eels & Mitten Crabs	
•	PC gave a presentation on the invasion of the Chinese Mitten Crab. He was after support for a project to design a nil by catch net especially one that would enable eels to escape. Total cost of project £35,500. Offers of help were made. The volume of eel caught in the Fyke style net surprised the meeting and MA was to assess the effort and comment	MA
9 A	ny Other Business?	
10	HS explained that the National Eel consultation period starts Friday and finishes 20 <sup>th</sup> or possibly 24 <sup>th</sup> August. All Eel fishermen will get letters and it should be confirmed in the Autumn and start in January.  Next Meeting	
10.	28th September 11am - 3pm.	
	Zour Jeptermoer 11am - Jpm.	